FROM AID TO PARTNERSHIP: RETHINKING COOPERATION

Building Relationships of Mutual Respect, Shared Responsibility, and Lasting Development

Cheick Boucadry Traore

Beyond the Language of Assistance

International cooperation has long occupied an important place in Africa's development experience.

For decades, governments, international institutions, humanitarian organizations, foundations, and development agencies have provided financial resources, technical assistance, emergency relief, and support for essential public services.

These contributions have sometimes helped save lives, strengthen institutions, expand educational opportunities, and address needs that national resources alone could not adequately meet.

Their importance should not be dismissed.

Yet the existence of assistance does not, by itself, demonstrate the achievement of development.

A country may receive substantial external support over many years while continuing to face inadequate productive capacity, fragile institutions, limited employment opportunities, and persistent dependence on financing or expertise from abroad.

Projects may be completed without leaving behind the capabilities necessary to maintain them. Programs may respond to priorities established externally rather than to the most consequential needs identified by the societies concerned.

The problem is not necessarily the willingness of others to help.

It is the structure of relationships in which assistance is conceived, delivered, evaluated, and sometimes perpetuated.

This raises a fundamental question:

How can international cooperation move beyond the provision of assistance toward relationships that strengthen Africa's capacity to determine and sustain its own development?

The answer requires a reconsideration of both responsibility and partnership.

Africa must assume responsibility for developing its institutions, mobilizing its capabilities, and defining its long-term priorities.

Its international partners must, in turn, recognize that meaningful cooperation cannot be built upon arrangements that preserve unequal decision-making or reproduce dependency.

The objective should not be to replace one vocabulary with another.

It should be to transform the substance of cooperation.

Assistance Has Value, but Dependence Has a Cost

There are circumstances in which external assistance is not merely useful but indispensable.

Humanitarian emergencies, epidemics, natural disasters, food insecurity, conflict-related displacement, and severe institutional constraints may require urgent international support.

In such situations, the immediate protection of human life must not be subordinated to abstract arguments about economic independence.

Solidarity has moral value precisely because human suffering creates obligations that extend beyond national borders.

Development cooperation can also support important investments in health, education, scientific research, infrastructure, and institutional improvement.

But assistance and sustainable development are not interchangeable.

The difficulty begins when programs designed to address immediate needs become substitutes for the development of enduring national capabilities.

An externally financed healthcare initiative may provide valuable services. Yet its long-term contribution remains uncertain if essential personnel, medicines, equipment, or operational financing disappear when the project ends.

An educational program may improve access to training without establishing the domestic institutions necessary to continue producing knowledge and professional competence.

A technical assistance mission may deliver a report without transferring sufficient expertise to the administration expected to implement its recommendations.

These shortcomings do not characterize every development initiative. Many partnerships have made serious efforts to strengthen local capacity.

Nevertheless, they reveal a broader distinction between delivering an intervention and building the ability to sustain its results.

Assistance should be evaluated not only by what it provides while external resources are available, but also by what remains possible afterward.

A society's progress cannot depend indefinitely on the continuation of externally directed programs.

The most valuable assistance is that which progressively strengthens the capacity of a society to do more for itself.

This does not mean abandoning international solidarity once national capabilities improve. Cooperation among capable societies remains essential.

It means ensuring that the relationship evolves from dependence toward greater agency, reciprocity, and shared responsibility.

Development Must Be Defined by Those Who Live Its Consequences

One of the central principles of genuine partnership is national ownership.

Yet ownership must be more than the formal endorsement of a development program designed elsewhere.

It requires the ability of African societies to identify their priorities, evaluate alternatives, determine appropriate strategies, and exercise meaningful authority over implementation.

No external institution, however experienced or well-intentioned, can possess a complete understanding of the social, economic, cultural, and institutional circumstances of every country in which it operates.

Development priorities cannot be determined solely by international funding cycles, institutional mandates, or the preferences of external specialists.

They must emerge from serious national analysis and public deliberation.

This does not mean that governments should be free from scrutiny simply because they invoke sovereignty.

National ownership is not synonymous with governmental ownership.

Citizens, local communities, researchers, professional organizations, enterprises, civil society institutions, and elected representatives all possess legitimate interests in the direction of development.

A program described as nationally owned may still lack genuine public legitimacy if its objectives have been determined without meaningful consultation or if its benefits are distributed unfairly.

Partnership therefore requires two forms of accountability.

External partners must respect legitimate national priorities and avoid substituting their own institutional preferences for domestic decision-making.

African governments must remain accountable to their populations for the priorities they establish, the agreements they conclude, and the resources they administer.

Neither responsibility can replace the other.

The objective is not to insulate national institutions from external knowledge or international standards.

It is to ensure that external contributions strengthen domestic judgment rather than displace it.

A capable nation should be able to listen, negotiate, accept useful advice, reject unsuitable proposals, and adapt international experience to its own circumstances.

That capacity is itself an important achievement of development.

From Financing Projects to Building Capabilities

Development cooperation is frequently organized around identifiable projects.

A school is constructed. A health facility receives equipment. A training program is financed. A road is rehabilitated. A digital platform is introduced.

Such initiatives can provide genuine benefits.

But the completion of a project does not necessarily establish the capacity to preserve those benefits.

A hospital requires qualified professionals, reliable supplies, maintenance, financing, and competent management.

A school requires teachers, educational materials, effective administration, and sustained attention to the quality of learning.

An industrial facility requires technical skills, dependable infrastructure, access to markets, and institutions capable of supporting productive activity.

The value of cooperation must therefore be assessed beyond the initial delivery of physical assets or services.

A serious development partnership should ask what knowledge is being acquired, which institutions are becoming stronger, what professional capabilities are being developed, and whether the country will possess greater freedom of action when external support declines.

Technical cooperation is especially important in this regard.

Expertise should not circulate exclusively through repeated missions by outside consultants while domestic institutions remain unable to perform essential functions independently.

Training, research collaboration, professional exchanges, and institutional development should be organized to leave behind capabilities that can be retained, improved, and transmitted.

This requires attention to the conditions under which African professionals work.

Knowledge transfer will have limited impact if qualified personnel lack appropriate responsibilities, research facilities, professional opportunities, or institutions capable of using their expertise.

Capability cannot be created through training alone.

It must be supported by functioning organizations and meaningful opportunities to apply what has been learned.

The measure of cooperation should not be how indispensable the external partner remains, but how capable the receiving society becomes.

That is a more demanding standard than the successful completion of a project.

It is also a more enduring one.

Economic Partnership Must Extend Beyond Development Finance

Africa's relationship with the wider world cannot be transformed through reforms to development assistance alone.

It also depends on trade, investment, industrial production, finance, technology, and the rules governing international economic activity.

A country may receive development assistance while participating in commercial arrangements that limit its opportunities to develop competitive enterprises or retain greater value from its resources.

Such contradictions deserve examination.

International cooperation should support African participation in productive value chains, not merely facilitate the export of raw materials and the import of finished goods.

Trade relationships should create opportunities for African enterprises to improve productivity, acquire technology, satisfy international standards, and enter higher-value activities.

Foreign investment can contribute significantly to this objective.

It may provide capital, technology, employment, management experience, and access to markets.

But the developmental value of investment depends on more than the amount of money committed.

It also depends on the quality of employment, the development of local suppliers, environmental responsibility, fair taxation, opportunities for technological learning, and the strength of domestic economic linkages.

African governments have responsibilities here.

They must establish transparent rules, protect legitimate investments, negotiate competently, enforce appropriate standards, and avoid arrangements that sacrifice long-term national interests for immediate financial or political gains.

International partners must likewise recognize that commercial advantage and development objectives do not always coincide automatically.

A relationship cannot be considered transformative simply because trade and investment volumes increase.

Its contribution should also be measured by the productive capabilities African economies acquire.

Debt and development finance require similar discipline.

Borrowing can support essential investment, but financing arrangements must be consistent with the capacity to repay and with the long-term value of the projects financed.

Unsustainable obligations can constrain future choices and undermine the very development they were intended to advance.

Fair, transparent financing and responsible borrowing are therefore shared responsibilities.

Ultimately, economic partnership must create conditions in which African countries can move progressively from dependence on external financing toward stronger domestic production, public revenue, enterprise development, and participation in international markets.

Development requires financial resources.

But lasting development requires the capability to generate and use resources productively.

Mutual Respect Does Not Eliminate Unequal Power

Partnership is an attractive word. It conveys cooperation, dignity, and mutual recognition.

Yet describing a relationship as a partnership does not automatically make its participants equal in bargaining power.

Countries differ in economic resources, scientific capabilities, institutional strength, technological influence, and access to international finance.

These differences shape negotiations.

An African government facing urgent financing constraints may possess fewer practical options than a major international financial institution or a powerful commercial partner.

A small enterprise negotiating with a multinational corporation may lack comparable legal, financial, or technical resources.

Ignoring these asymmetries does not make them disappear.

Genuine partnership requires recognizing them and developing arrangements that prevent differences in power from becoming instruments of exploitation.

This does not mean that every agreement must distribute benefits identically.

Countries and institutions have different responsibilities, capabilities, and legitimate interests.

But cooperation should involve transparent terms, informed consent, fair negotiation, and an equitable consideration of risks and benefits.

African institutions must invest in the competence necessary to negotiate effectively.

This includes expertise in economics, law, finance, technology, environmental protection, public procurement, and international commercial arrangements.

Negotiating sovereignty cannot be sustained through political declarations alone.

It requires knowledge.

External partners should also be willing to support institutional capability rather than exploit weaknesses in technical or administrative preparation.

Respect must be expressed through conduct: openness about interests, consistency in commitments, responsible management of disagreements, and recognition of the other party's capacity for judgment.

Partnership does not require the absence of competing interests.

It requires rules and relationships through which those interests can be pursued without abandoning fairness or mutual responsibility.

Science, Technology, and the Right to Create Knowledge

The future of international cooperation will be shaped increasingly by scientific and technological capabilities.

Artificial intelligence, medical research, agricultural innovation, renewable energy, advanced manufacturing, and digital infrastructure are transforming the conditions of economic and social development.

Africa must participate in these transformations as more than a market for imported technologies.

International scientific cooperation can strengthen African universities, laboratories, research institutions, and enterprises.

But meaningful cooperation should enable African researchers and professionals to participate in defining research questions, designing studies, producing knowledge, and benefiting from resulting innovations.

It should not reduce them to providers of data, research subjects, or local implementers of agendas established elsewhere.

This requires fair arrangements concerning intellectual contributions, access to information, research infrastructure, technological expertise, and the use of scientific results.

International partnerships should help strengthen institutions capable of conducting independent research and contributing to global knowledge.

Such cooperation is not a concession to African aspirations.

It is an opportunity to enlarge humanity's collective capacity for discovery.

African societies possess knowledge of environments, diseases, agricultural systems, languages, social institutions, and historical experiences that can contribute meaningfully to international research.

These contributions deserve recognition and serious scientific engagement.

The purpose of partnership should be to expand the number of societies capable of producing knowledge, not merely the number able to consume its results.

A world in which scientific capability is more widely distributed is better equipped to confront challenges that no country can resolve alone.

Africa Must Also Deepen Cooperation Within Africa

The discussion of international partnership often concentrates on relationships between African countries and external institutions.

Yet Africa's capacity to engage the wider world also depends on the strength of cooperation among its own societies.

Regional integration can expand markets, support industrial development, improve infrastructure, and create opportunities for shared scientific and technological capabilities.

Countries with different resources, expertise, and productive advantages can achieve results through cooperation that may be difficult to realize independently.

Cross-border energy systems, transport corridors, educational networks, public-health cooperation, research partnerships, and regional production networks illustrate this potential.

The African Continental Free Trade Area provides an important framework for strengthening such relationships.

But regional cooperation must become practical.

Agreements require implementation. Transport connections must function. Administrative procedures must facilitate lawful exchange. Enterprises must be able to identify opportunities across borders, and workers and professionals need appropriate channels for cooperation.

African institutions must also develop the capacity to resolve disputes and sustain commitments beyond changes of political leadership.

Regional cooperation should not be understood merely as preparation for negotiating with the rest of the world.

It possesses intrinsic value.

It can enable African societies to develop complementary strengths, reduce unnecessary fragmentation, and create broader opportunities for their people.

A continent more capable of cooperating internally will also be better positioned to establish balanced relationships internationally.

Shared Challenges Require Shared Responsibilities

Some of the greatest challenges facing African countries cannot be resolved through national action alone.

Climate change, infectious diseases, food insecurity, displacement, financial instability, and technological risks cross borders and require collective responses.

These challenges remind us that international cooperation is not an outdated arrangement to be discarded.

It is an essential dimension of an increasingly interconnected world.

But cooperation must reflect the nature of the responsibilities involved.

Climate change offers an important example.

Countries differ in their historical contributions to greenhouse gas emissions, their current economic capabilities, and their vulnerability to environmental consequences.

Fair cooperation should take these differences seriously.

Support for adaptation, resilience, scientific capacity, energy access, and sustainable development should reflect both shared interests and differentiated responsibilities.

Likewise, global health cooperation should strengthen national and regional health systems rather than rely exclusively on temporary interventions organized around individual emergencies.

The ability to detect diseases, produce essential supplies where feasible, conduct research, maintain surveillance systems, and deliver healthcare requires sustained institutional capacity.

International solidarity is most effective when it supports these foundations.

None of this eliminates the need for emergency assistance.

When human lives are threatened, urgent cooperation remains a moral imperative.

The essential distinction is between responding to immediate suffering and allowing the structures of assistance to become permanent substitutes for national development.

The two responsibilities should reinforce one another.

Humanitarian action can protect life today while development cooperation helps establish the capabilities needed to reduce vulnerability tomorrow.

Accountability Must Serve People, Not Only Institutions

Development cooperation frequently operates through agreements among governments, donors, financial institutions, and implementing organizations.

These arrangements require financial controls, reporting procedures, performance reviews, and institutional oversight.

Such safeguards are necessary.

But accountability should not end with satisfying the administrative requirements of the organizations providing funds.

The people whose lives are affected by development programs must remain central to the evaluation of their results.

A project may comply with its reporting obligations while delivering limited lasting benefit to the communities it was intended to serve.

A program may demonstrate that allocated resources were spent without establishing whether public services improved or local institutions became more capable.

A credible partnership must therefore ask questions that extend beyond expenditure and implementation.

Did the initiative address a genuine priority? Were the affected communities meaningfully consulted? Were financial and administrative responsibilities transparent? Did the program strengthen local institutions? Can its benefits continue? Were unintended harms recognized and corrected?

These questions should apply to all participants.

African governments must account for the public resources and responsibilities entrusted to them.

International partners must account for the commitments they make, the conditions they impose, and the consequences of their interventions.

Private enterprises must respect lawful obligations, labor standards, environmental safeguards, and the legitimate interests of affected communities.

Civil society organizations and implementing agencies must also demonstrate integrity and effectiveness.

Accountability is not a requirement imposed by one side upon another.

It is a shared discipline that protects the purpose of cooperation.

Ultimately, a partnership should be judged by the capabilities, opportunities, and improvements it helps create in people's lives—not merely by the continuation of the partnership itself.

Toward a New Culture of Cooperation

Moving from aid to partnership does not require the elimination of development assistance or the denial of legitimate differences in national capability.

It requires a change in the philosophy and practice of cooperation.

The relationship should begin with the recognition that African societies are not passive recipients of development.

They possess knowledge, institutions, resources, histories, capabilities, and legitimate ambitions of their own.

They also face serious constraints that cannot be overcome through declarations of sovereignty alone.

Acknowledging these constraints is not a surrender of dignity.

It is the necessary beginning of responsible action.

Africa must strengthen its institutions, invest in its people, mobilize domestic resources fairly, develop productive enterprises, and establish credible long-term priorities.

Its partners must be willing to engage these priorities through relationships that promote capability rather than permanent dependence.

The quality of cooperation should be measured increasingly by whether it enables countries to make informed decisions, sustain essential services, negotiate effectively, create knowledge, develop competitive enterprises, and participate in international affairs with greater confidence.

This transformation cannot be achieved simply by changing institutional language.

Calling assistance a partnership does not make it reciprocal.

Declaring ownership does not create institutional capability.

Announcing mutual respect does not eliminate unequal bargaining power.

The substance must change.

Contracts, financing arrangements, research programs, commercial relationships, institutional practices, and systems of accountability must increasingly reflect the principles being proclaimed.

Genuine partnership is demonstrated through the responsibilities participants accept and the capabilities they help create.

Cooperation That Builds the Future

Africa's future will be shaped partly by the quality of its relationships with the wider world.

Those relationships will continue to involve trade, investment, scientific exchange, diplomacy, humanitarian solidarity, and development finance.

The objective should not be to diminish cooperation, but to elevate its purpose.

Assistance remains necessary where needs are urgent and capabilities insufficient.

But it should not define the permanent place of African societies in the international order.

Africa's ambition must extend beyond receiving resources toward strengthening the institutions and productive capacities through which it can create, sustain, and share prosperity.

This requires responsibility within Africa as well as fairness beyond it.

No international partnership can compensate indefinitely for weak domestic institutions, inadequate public accountability, or the neglect of human capability.

Equally, no appeal to national responsibility should excuse external arrangements that systematically undermine opportunities for fair participation and development.

Lasting cooperation depends on confronting both sides of this responsibility.

The measure of progress is not whether assistance disappears from international relations.

It is whether assistance becomes less central to the ability of societies to determine their own future.

The most constructive partnerships do not seek to preserve their own indispensability.

They help create the conditions for relationships in which each participant possesses greater capability, exercises greater responsibility, and contributes more meaningfully to shared progress.

Africa does not seek a future without partners. It seeks a future in which partnership strengthens its freedom to act, its capacity to create, and its responsibility to determine its own development.

That is the transition from assistance to genuine cooperation.

And it is the foundation of a relationship worthy of the future Africa must build.

For further reading and learning, explore the FWS Library & Bookstore and FWS Knowledge & Learning.