ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND AFRICAN SOVEREIGNTY

Knowledge, Technological Power, and Africa's Right to Shape Its Digital Future

Cheick Boucadry Traore

A New Dimension of Sovereignty

For much of Africa's modern history, the struggle for sovereignty has been associated with political independence, territorial authority, economic self-determination, and the right of nations to define their own development priorities.

These dimensions remain fundamental. Yet the emergence of artificial intelligence introduces another question into the meaning of sovereignty.

Who will possess the knowledge, technological capabilities, and institutional authority to shape the systems increasingly influencing economic activity, public administration, education, scientific research, and everyday life?

Artificial intelligence is no longer confined to specialized laboratories. Its applications are entering workplaces, schools, hospitals, financial systems, public institutions, and the organization of knowledge itself.

These developments offer possibilities for improving productivity, expanding access to services, accelerating research, and addressing complex challenges.

But they also create new forms of dependence.

A society may become increasingly reliant on technological systems it does not understand, cannot meaningfully scrutinize, and possesses little capacity to modify. Public institutions may incorporate automated tools without developing the competence to evaluate their reliability. Economic activity may depend on digital infrastructures whose conditions of access are determined elsewhere.

The danger is not simply that African countries may adopt technologies developed beyond their borders. International exchange has always been an important source of scientific and economic progress.

The deeper concern is whether technological adoption will strengthen African capabilities or make essential decisions increasingly dependent on institutions and systems over which African societies exercise little influence.

The central question is not whether Africa will use artificial intelligence. It is whether Africa will develop the capacity to shape how artificial intelligence is used, governed, and developed.

That capacity will become an important dimension of sovereignty in the decades ahead.

Sovereignty Is Capability, Not Isolation

Technological sovereignty is sometimes interpreted as the obligation to produce every component of a technological system domestically.

Such an understanding is neither practical nor necessary.

Modern technologies emerge from complex international relationships involving scientific research, specialized materials, advanced manufacturing, software development, digital infrastructure, and global investment.

Few countries possess complete independence across these activities.

Africa's objective should not be to withdraw from international technological cooperation or duplicate every capability developed elsewhere.

It should be to reduce vulnerabilities that arise when essential knowledge, infrastructure, and decision-making remain excessively concentrated beyond its effective control.

This requires distinguishing ownership from capability.

A country may possess domestically located technological facilities while remaining dependent on external expertise to operate them. Conversely, it may use internationally developed systems while maintaining strong technical competence, effective regulation, contractual protections, and meaningful freedom of choice.

Sovereignty therefore involves the ability to understand technological systems, evaluate their consequences, negotiate their use, protect legitimate interests, and develop alternatives where necessary.

It also includes the capacity to refuse technologies or contractual arrangements whose risks cannot be adequately managed.

This understanding places human and institutional capability at the center of technological independence.

The objective is not isolation, but informed participation.

Africa should engage with international innovation as an active contributor, capable partner, and responsible decision-maker rather than merely as a destination for technologies designed according to priorities established elsewhere.

Data, Knowledge, and the Question of Control

Artificial intelligence depends upon data, computational resources, scientific knowledge, and the methods through which systems are developed and trained.

Data therefore occupy an important place in the emerging technological economy.

Information concerning health, agriculture, commerce, language, education, mobility, and public administration can help develop services that respond to genuine social and economic needs.

Yet the collection and use of data also raise questions about privacy, ownership, consent, security, and the distribution of economic benefits.

African societies must be able to determine how sensitive information is collected, protected, accessed, and used.

This does not mean that all data should remain within national borders or that international research collaboration should be restricted indiscriminately.

Responsible data exchange can support scientific discovery, economic integration, and better public services.

But exchange must occur within frameworks that respect lawful rights, protect individuals, and prevent the misuse of information.

The African Union's Data Policy Framework provides an important foundation for developing more coherent approaches to data governance across the continent.

The deeper challenge, however, extends beyond regulation.

Africa must develop the capability to transform information into knowledge and knowledge into useful innovations.

A society may generate valuable information through its people, institutions, and economic activities without possessing the scientific or technological capabilities necessary to derive substantial benefits from it.

The question is therefore not simply where data are stored.

It is who can use them responsibly, for what purposes, under which safeguards, and with what benefits for society.

Data governance should protect human dignity while enabling African institutions and enterprises to create knowledge and value.

Without both objectives, the pursuit of technological sovereignty will remain incomplete.

Building the Foundations of Technological Capability

Artificial intelligence is often discussed as though progress depends primarily on access to increasingly sophisticated applications.

But technological capability rests upon foundations that are less visible.

Reliable electricity, affordable connectivity, secure digital infrastructure, computing resources, scientific education, research institutions, and technically competent enterprises are essential to sustained participation in the AI economy.

Where electricity remains unreliable or internet access is prohibitively expensive, advanced digital systems cannot achieve their full potential.

Where universities lack research facilities and qualified personnel, technological adoption may advance faster than the domestic capacity to understand and improve the systems being used.

Where public institutions lack technical expertise, governments may find themselves dependent on external suppliers even for decisions involving essential public services.

Investment in these foundations is therefore a strategic responsibility.

Africa needs more researchers, engineers, data specialists, cybersecurity professionals, and technically capable public administrators.

It also needs teachers, healthcare professionals, agricultural specialists, linguists, social scientists, and legal experts capable of working alongside technical specialists.

Artificial intelligence is not exclusively a matter for computer scientists.

Its development and consequences involve human behavior, economic organization, language, ethics, law, and institutional responsibility.

The continent should support research institutions and innovation ecosystems that connect scientific knowledge with practical needs.

Regional cooperation can help countries share expertise and infrastructure when developing every capability independently would be inefficient or prohibitively expensive.

Not every African country needs to construct the largest possible AI model or computing facility.

The more important objective is to develop sufficient capabilities to understand available technologies, make informed choices, adapt systems effectively, and participate in their continued improvement.

Technological ambition must be guided by usefulness, sustainability, and the responsible allocation of limited resources.

African Languages and the Right to Participate

One of the most significant questions surrounding artificial intelligence concerns language.

Africa possesses extraordinary linguistic diversity. Its languages carry accumulated knowledge, cultural memory, systems of reasoning, and ways of understanding the human experience.

Yet many digital technologies have historically been developed around languages with extensive digitized resources and strong representation in global technological markets.

This imbalance matters.

When AI systems perform poorly in particular languages, speakers may encounter difficulties accessing information, public services, education, or digital economic opportunities.

The consequences can extend beyond convenience.

Language influences whether individuals can express their needs accurately, understand medical or administrative information, participate in learning, and engage confidently with technology.

African-language AI development should therefore be treated as a serious area of scientific and cultural investment.

It requires linguistic research, responsible collection of language resources, collaboration with communities, technical expertise, and rigorous evaluation.

Translation alone will not always be sufficient.

Languages convey historical experiences, social relationships, and cultural meanings that automated systems may misinterpret without appropriate contextual knowledge.

Communities should participate meaningfully in decisions concerning the collection and use of their linguistic resources, with appropriate recognition of contributors and protection of sensitive cultural material.

The objective is not to separate African languages from international communication.

It is to prevent technological progress from making participation increasingly dependent on abandoning the languages through which people understand and communicate their world.

A technological future that cannot adequately understand the people it claims to serve cannot be considered fully inclusive.

Linguistic capability is consequently both a development priority and an important expression of cultural sovereignty.

Technology Must Respond to Real African Needs

The value of artificial intelligence should not be measured principally by the sophistication of its demonstrations or the scale of investment announcements.

Its importance lies in what it can help people and institutions accomplish.

In healthcare, carefully evaluated AI applications may assist medical professionals with diagnostic support, administrative tasks, research, and the organization of services.

In agriculture, appropriate tools may improve access to information about weather, crop conditions, markets, and production practices.

In education, AI may support teachers, facilitate access to learning materials, and help individuals develop new skills.

In public administration, digital systems may improve the organization of records, service delivery, and the identification of operational problems.

These possibilities deserve attention.

But possibility is not proof of effectiveness.

A medical application that performs well in one setting may be unreliable in another. An educational system may produce convincing explanations while conveying incorrect information. An administrative algorithm may reproduce inequalities embedded in the data or assumptions used to develop it.

African institutions must therefore evaluate technologies according to local conditions and the consequences of their actual use.

This requires testing, professional supervision, transparent assessment, and mechanisms for correcting errors.

Applications should not be adopted simply because they are described as intelligent or innovative.

Their usefulness must be demonstrated.

Nor should technological enthusiasm obscure the importance of basic public services.

An AI system cannot substitute for a functioning healthcare network, adequately trained teachers, reliable electricity, or effective public administration.

Its contribution becomes meaningful when it strengthens those foundations rather than providing a technological appearance of progress while underlying deficiencies remain.

The guiding principle should be straightforward: **the success of artificial intelligence must be measured by the human capabilities and institutional effectiveness it helps improve.**

Economic Opportunity and the Risk of New Dependence

Artificial intelligence may influence the organization of production, employment, trade, and international competition.

For African economies, this creates both opportunities and uncertainties.

Entrepreneurs may develop specialized applications, improve business processes, expand services, and participate in new markets.

Researchers and technical professionals may contribute to international scientific and commercial networks.

Enterprises may use AI to strengthen productivity in agriculture, manufacturing, logistics, finance, and professional services.

Yet these opportunities will not be distributed automatically.

Firms that lack infrastructure, technical personnel, financing, or reliable access to digital services may struggle to compete.

Some activities may be transformed by automation, while others may emerge or expand. The effects will differ across occupations, countries, and stages of development.

It would be unwise to assume either that artificial intelligence will necessarily eliminate employment on a vast scale or that it will automatically generate sufficient new opportunities.

Preparation requires careful observation, continuing education, and economic policies that enable workers and enterprises to adapt.

Africa must also consider its position within the emerging AI value chain.

The continent should not be confined to providing raw data, inexpensive digital labor, or expanding consumer markets while higher-value knowledge, technology, and commercial control remain concentrated elsewhere.

Fair opportunities for African researchers, entrepreneurs, creators, and workers must form part of the continent's technological agenda.

This involves supporting domestic and regional enterprises, developing intellectual property capabilities, strengthening research commercialization, and creating conditions in which innovative firms can grow.

It also requires fair treatment and appropriate protections for workers who contribute to the development and operation of AI systems.

The objective should not be economic separation from the world.

It should be participation that progressively expands African productive capabilities rather than reproducing familiar patterns of dependence in a new technological form.

Rights, Accountability, and the Limits of Automation

Artificial intelligence can influence decisions that affect access to employment, financial services, healthcare, education, public benefits, and other essential opportunities.

Its use therefore raises questions that cannot be resolved through technical performance alone.

Who is responsible when an automated system produces an unjust or harmful outcome?

Can affected individuals understand the basis of a consequential decision?

Do they have meaningful opportunities to challenge errors?

Are personal data protected?

Can public authorities evaluate systems supplied by private companies?

These questions concern rights, accountability, and the legitimacy of institutions.

AI governance must preserve the principle that technological systems remain subject to human responsibility.

Where decisions affect fundamental rights or essential services, appropriate human oversight, clear institutional accountability, and accessible mechanisms for review are indispensable.

Public authorities should not transfer responsibility to algorithms or external providers.

Nor should technological complexity become an excuse for secrecy where transparency is necessary to protect the public interest.

Government procurement deserves particular attention.

Contracts involving AI systems should be evaluated not only for immediate costs and promised efficiencies, but also for data protections, cybersecurity, technical dependence, auditability, future maintenance, and the ability to change providers.

Some applications may present risks that cannot be justified by their anticipated benefits.

Responsible governance must retain the authority to restrict or reject such uses.

The African Union's Continental Artificial Intelligence Strategy, adopted in 2024, provides an important basis for advancing coordinated, development-oriented, and responsible AI policies.

But frameworks acquire meaning through implementation.

Effective oversight requires competent institutions, enforceable standards, independent scrutiny, and public participation.

Technological sovereignty loses its moral purpose if it strengthens the power of institutions while weakening the rights of the people they are meant to serve.

A Continental Voice in a Global Technological Order

Artificial intelligence is increasingly becoming a matter of international economic and strategic competition.

Advanced computing infrastructure, technological standards, research capabilities, investment, and access to specialized expertise influence the distribution of power.

Decisions made in a relatively small number of technological centers can have consequences for societies across the world.

Africa cannot afford to remain a passive observer of the rules and arrangements emerging around AI.

Its countries need meaningful participation in international discussions concerning safety, standards, data governance, intellectual property, competition, and the responsible use of technology.

This requires expertise as well as diplomatic engagement.

Negotiating technological arrangements without sufficient technical understanding can weaken the ability of governments to defend their interests.

Regional cooperation offers an important means of strengthening that capacity.

African countries differ considerably in their infrastructure, economic resources, research institutions, and existing technological capabilities.

These differences should be recognized rather than concealed beneath the language of continental unity.

Nevertheless, cooperation can support shared research, common technical standards, stronger educational networks, coordinated regulatory approaches, and the development of regional markets for African innovation.

A more connected African digital economy can create opportunities for enterprises whose markets would otherwise be limited by national boundaries.

The African Continental Free Trade Area may contribute to this process where digital trade, services, infrastructure, and regulatory arrangements are developed in mutually reinforcing ways.

International partnerships will remain indispensable.

But partnerships should aim to expand knowledge, strengthen local institutions, develop African professionals, and create reciprocal economic opportunities.

The quality of cooperation should be judged not merely by the technologies delivered, but by the capabilities transferred and the independence of judgment preserved.

Africa does not need one uniform technological model.

It needs the ability to cooperate across its diversity while participating more effectively in shaping the international technological environment.

From Technological Adoption to Technological Agency

The essential challenge is to move from adopting artificial intelligence to developing the capability to direct its use.

This transformation requires consistent commitments.

Educational institutions must strengthen scientific, technical, and critical capabilities. Research centers need the resources and freedom necessary to develop relevant knowledge. Public administrations require personnel able to evaluate and govern increasingly complex systems.

Enterprises need conditions that reward innovation and support responsible technological development.

Citizens need access to reliable information and opportunities to understand how automated systems influence their lives.

Governments must learn to distinguish technological investments that create enduring capacity from those that establish costly dependencies without sufficient public benefit.

The strongest national AI strategies will not necessarily be those containing the most ambitious declarations.

They will be those that connect clear development priorities with competent institutions, realistic investment, appropriate safeguards, and measurable results.

This work cannot be accomplished through a single program or within one political mandate.

It requires sustained attention to education, infrastructure, research, economic development, and institutional accountability.

Above all, technological agency requires the confidence to make choices rather than merely follow trends.

Africa should be prepared to adopt useful innovations, question unsuitable arrangements, develop alternatives, and participate in determining the future direction of technology.

Such judgment is itself a form of sovereignty.

The Future Must Be Shaped, Not Merely Received

Artificial intelligence will continue to influence the economic, intellectual, and institutional environment in which African societies develop.

Some of its consequences can be anticipated. Others will emerge through scientific progress, commercial decisions, political choices, and forms of technological use that are not yet fully understood.

Uncertainty does not justify inaction.

Nor does technological enthusiasm justify surrendering independent judgment.

Africa's responsibility is to prepare its people and institutions to engage with AI as a field of knowledge, a productive instrument, and a domain of public responsibility.

This requires developing African expertise, protecting legitimate rights, encouraging innovation, strengthening institutions, and engaging with the wider world through partnerships that support mutual progress.

The objective is not to possess every technology.

It is to possess the capabilities necessary to understand, evaluate, develop, govern, and, when appropriate, refuse technologies whose consequences affect African societies.

The deepest question of technological sovereignty is therefore not simply where machines are built, where data are stored, or who owns particular systems.

It is whether African societies retain meaningful authority over the decisions that shape their collective future.

Africa's technological sovereignty will not be secured by the artificial intelligence it consumes, but by the knowledge it develops, the choices it can make, and the future it becomes capable of shaping.

Artificial intelligence should expand the possibilities of African freedom and development—not diminish the capacity of Africans to determine their own destiny.

For further reading and learning, explore the FWS Library & Bookstore and FWS Knowledge & Learning.